

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
10/814,243	1,243 04/01/2004		David Peter Van Heerden	09118.0002	6944	
22852	7590	11/16/2005		EXAMINER		
	I, HEND	ERSON, FARAE	PARADISO, JOHN ROGER			
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413				V	Τ

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Antion Comments	10/814,243	VAN HEERDEN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	John R. Paradiso	3721						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 AL	<u>igust 2005</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•						
 4) Claim(s) 30-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 30-49 is/are rejected. 								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/15/04, 8/10/05.		atent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/814,243

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention II, claims 30-49, in the reply filed on 8/29/2005 is acknowledged. Examiner notes that Applicant has also cancelled all non-elected claims.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 30-49 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 62 and 81-86 of copending Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,243

Art Unit: 3721

10/247,998. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is well known in the packaging art (and in everyday life) to put a deformable material between two surfaces for which a seal is desired (a gasket, for instance) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to put a gasket of the specified and appropriate type between the lid and container fitted with the multi-layer reactive material claimed in Application No. 10/247,998 in order to provide for a moisture-proof seal.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Reference Citations

- 4. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:
- MAKOWIECKI ET AL (US 5381944) discloses a low-temperature reactive bonding technique which involves the reaction of thin multi-layered films deposited on a substrate surface
- ICHIKAWA discloses a container with an integral piercer.
- MOREL discloses a container with an integral piercer.
- HUTCHINSON discloses a method of sealing using sealant/filler.
- GOEPPNER discloses a container with deformable beads in the sidewalls.
- KURODA discloses a container top with recess for sealant.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,243 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (571) 272-4466

November 14, 2005

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (571) 308-7135 Fax (Official): (571) 273-8300

Fax (Direct to Examiner) (571) 273-4466 (Drafts only)